

Bradford Local Plan

Core Strategy Examination

**Further Statement Relating to PSF/034 – revised
housing trajectory incorporating a 20% buffer**

Date: 29th April 2015

Introduction

- 1.1 This statement sets out the Council's position in regards to responses made to the Council's homework item relating to a potential revised housing trajectory which incorporates a 20% buffer (PS/F034)
- 1.2 In particular this further statement relates to the comments made by Johnson Brook in statement PS/F077b:

Response

- 2.1 The substantive points made by Johnson Brook relate to the varying merits of adopting either the Sedgefield approach which adds the full backlog of previous under delivery to the first 5 years of the plan's trajectory and the Liverpool / Sefton approach which spreads the backlog over the full 15 year plan period. Johnson Brook incorrectly state that the Council's statement PS/F034 advocates spreading the backlog over the full plan period. While this is indeed the Council favoured approach, the issue of which of the two methods – Sedgefield or Liverpool / Sefton is most appropriate - is not dealt with in PS/F034 but in statement PS/F063. The comments made by Johnson Brook will therefore be addressed in the Council's further response on comments made to PS/F063
- 1.3 PS/F034 simply set out, in response to a request made by the Inspector, what the housing trajectory would be if amended to include a 20% buffer which brings forward an additional 2,200 dwellings into the first 5 year delivery period. Both the Council and Johnson Brook agree that this would imply an annual delivery rate of 3,152 per annum.